



Published by Novapex Publishers Ltd, Kenya, in the *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research & Innovation (IJIRI)*, Volume 1, Issue 1, 2026.

E - ISSN: (Applied)  
P - ISSN: (Applied)

## Evaluating legal frameworks: A comprehensive review of current jurisprudence

Amr Ezzat Elhaw<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sharjah Police Science Academy, United Arab Emirates

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0698-8035>

\*Corresponding author's email: [dr.amr.elhow.police@gmail.com](mailto:dr.amr.elhow.police@gmail.com)

### Article Details

Received: 01 February 2026

Revised: 11 February 2026

Accepted: 20 March 2025

Published: 19 April 2026

**Conflict of Interest:** The author/s declared no conflict of interest.



### How to Cite:

Elhaw, A. E. (2026). Evaluating legal frameworks: A comprehensive review of current jurisprudence. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Innovation*, 1(1), 59-66. <https://journals.novapexpublishers.com/interdisciplinary/article/view/19>

### ABSTRACT

This paper presents a comprehensive and contemporary analysis of evaluating legal systems from different fields, synthesizing studies published between 2020 and 2025. By reviewing the work in the field, we sought to identify methodological approaches that can integrate findings from the legal framework evaluation of various legal jurisdictions and fields, including cybercrime law, energy regulation rules, and public procurement regulations governing human trafficking. This analysis found that the most important thing is to be able not to believe that doctrinal analysis, no matter how qualitatively “excellent,” is enough. Instead, rigorous survey methods and comparative legal methodologies have recently been used in a few areas. Doctrinal research remains the standard, but there are indications of expansion into other fields, including reinforcement learning and grounded theory. The key findings indicate a persistent lack of legal framework effectiveness in several crucial respects. In terms of enforcement mechanisms, problems at both the community and national levels persist even after prolonged periods of suppression or disappearance, and gaps in regulation must be filled. The development of an evaluation methodology is not an easy task. However, there will be growing room for international cooperation, as well as changes in regulatory mechanisms that reflect technological progress. The workshop serves as a good starting point to set the standard with regard to what evaluative system all these young evaluators can use jointly. However, after a day's discussion, we still have not been able to answer this question.

**KEYWORDS:** legal framework evaluation, comparative legal analysis, doctrinal research, empirical legal methods, jurisprudential trends



© 2026. This article is licensed and distributed under a Creative Commons [Attribution \(CC BY-NC-SA\) International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Legal frameworks represent the basic edifice through which societies regulate norms, activities, and dispute resolution. Evaluating these frameworks, that is, asking how effective they are, where the gaps are, and what reforms they may need, is an essential role of legal scholarship and policy development. With vast technical progress, globalization, and all new social problems, legal order evaluation has reached a higher level of complexity and the need for systemization (Vujičić, 2024).

Modern law is increasingly focused on the fact that the best way to evaluate laws is through understanding empirical, comparative, and interdisciplinary – rather than just traditional doctrinal analysis. Such a transition addresses the reality of modern legal matters, many of which have non-local aspects that are intertwined with other (legally relevant) facts across economics, environment, and society (Abiri-Franklin et al. 2024).

This systematic review synthesizes recent academic literature on legal frameworks published from 2020 to 2025, providing a comparative assessment of methodological practices, thematic areas of legal analysis, and jurisprudential trends. The review attempts to answer three key questions using the current literature on the evaluation of legal frameworks: (1) What methodological approaches are used in the contemporary evaluation of legal frameworks? 1. What overall conclusions can be drawn regarding the strengths and weaknesses of legal frameworks in different sectors? Implications for legal reform and future research directions(3)

The Analysis covers research on different regions and areas of law, such as cybercrime, energy law, human rights, public procurement, AI law, and access to healthcare. This expanse facilitates the recognition of convergent topics and applicable insights while remaining cognizant of the domain-specific hurdles and context elements that formulate the success of a legal framework.

## 2. Methodological Approaches to Legal Framework Evaluation

### 2.1 Doctrinal and Statutory Analysis

Doctrinal analysis continues to be a fundamental approach to evaluating legal frameworks through the study of statutes, regulations, and court interpretations. Anwary, for instance, empirically assesses cybercrime law on public administration in Indonesia using a qualitative descriptive method and an empirical judicial method combined with a statutory approach analysis.<sup>1</sup> The analysis focuses on articles 27-35 of Act No.ohl one, 2008, types and patterns of cybercrime, and Chapter Xi about secrecy and privacy violations. This method allows for a granular evaluation of legislative provisions, particularly whether they cohere with one another and advance policy goals.

Similarly, Amune (2025) undertook an analytical perspective of Nigeria's Trafficking in Persons (Prohibition) Enforcement and Administration Act 2015, scrutinizing regulatory frameworks, prosecution methods, punishment systems, victim safeguarding provisions, and prevention efforts. Doctrinal methodology helps identify the gaps and inaccuracies in legislation and serves as a basis for comparing different jurisdictions.

### 2.2 Comparative Legal Analysis

In an increasingly interconnected world, comparative legal analysis is becoming an essential method for examining legal frameworks. To analyze the global regulation of artificial intelligence and its impact on technology businesses, Vujičić (2024) utilized comparative legal framework analysis, investigating how varying governmental approaches and implications for their businesses reshape the frameworks through which modern enterprises operate. This is a comparative method that can help discover best practices, regulatory innovations, and harmonization possibilities between jurisdictions.

This comparative perspective is especially useful in areas where challenges are transnational and regulations are transnationally fragmented. Through its focus on different jurisdictional responses to the same or similar legal problems, comparative analysis highlights different possible regulatory solutions,

the relative success of such solutions across jurisdictions, and contextual factors that have affected their successful implementation.

### 2.3 Empirical and Qualitative Methods

However, more importantly, empirical and qualitative research methods now lay the groundwork for further discussion on how to corroborate doctrinal analysis with evidence and how the legal framework is implemented and works in practice. Ghezzi et al. Qualitative study on in-house ICT procurement within Finnish municipalities using semi-structured interviews with municipal stakeholders and an inductive grounded theory approach to data analysis (Jin & Heikkilä, 2023). This evidence-based strategy uncovers complex interactions between Finnish municipalities and their related corporate actors and reveals how qualitative approaches can identify processes of implementation that doctrinal analysis alone cannot detect.

By using empirical approaches, scholars can evaluate not only the formal design of legal institutions but also their performance, stakeholder perceptions, and real-world effects. The change in methodology also reflects the empirical observation that legality is only partly sustained by the legislative design, but often results from the implementation context, institutional capacities and stakeholder behavior

### 2.4 Emerging Interdisciplinary Approaches

Newer scholarship illustrates the increasing methodological creativity now afoot in this area, as scholars bring theoretical perspectives from other fields to illuminate traditional legal analysis. Giriya et al. Health Access Net-RL (2025) is a military use case that assesses the current and future legal frameworks available for evaluating neonatal and postnatal healthcare accessibility through reinforcement learning. This computational approach is a methodological departure that employs supervised machine learning to evaluate the impact of legal frameworks on healthcare access outcomes.

Abiri-Franklin et al. A recent analysis by Dzoboku et al. al. (2024) used a comprehensive analytical framework focused on the interlinkages of legal, economic, and environmental factors in assessing hydrogen energy storage regimes in Sub-Saharan Africa. This cross-cutting approach recognizes the importance of assessing whether the legal effectiveness of the framework is achievable in practice by ensuring that the framework is economically viable and sustainable from an environmental perspective.

These developing approaches represent a transitional phase toward methodological pluralism in legal scholarship, whereby it is conceded that the complexity of today's legal questions must be met with analytical methodologies that go beyond traditional disciplinary divisions.

## 3. Thematic Analysis of Legal Framework Evaluations

### 3.1 Technology and Cybersecurity Law

One of the most salient topics in modern-day jurisprudence is the assessment of legal structures governing technology and cybersecurity, and its relevance is largely tied to the rapidity of change fluid with today. According to Anwary's analysis of Indonesian cybercrime law, the most significant law for regulating cybercrime in Indonesia is Law No. 11/2008, along with its articles from 27 to 35, describing the types and patterns of cybercrime. On the one hand, the research found that Indonesia has built a legislative framework for combating cybercrime but continues to face challenges in implementing it, especially in coordinating national and local government agencies with cybercrime agencies.

Using Space Transition Theory, Rajeswari researched the complications of protecting intellectual property rights (IPR) in cyberspace. This analysis highlights that the traditional structures of law crafted for bricks and mortar dimensions of space do not apply to the climate of serialized infinities in cyberspace the unmooring of jurisdiction, the propulsive pace of change in a post-industrial system of technological production, and the fluidities of digital copying.

Altogether, these studies illustrate that even at the level of foundational technology law

frameworks, there are ongoing struggles to balance innovation stimulation against rights assurance, adapt to a consistently rapidly changing technological environment, and establish robust enforcement mechanisms that cross jurisdictional borders.

### 3.2 Energy and Environmental Regulation

Assessing legal and policy frameworks in the fields of energy and the environment can entail complex interactions between regulatory formats, economic growth and development, and sustainability. Abiri-Franklin et al. (2024) *Legal Frameworks for Hydrogen Energy Storage Potential in Sub-Saharan Africa: Towards a Law and Development Approach* [Forthcoming]. Policymakers can generate hundreds of thousands, and potentially millions, of new jobs while accelerating their domestic energy security, economic growth, and sustainable development if Sub-Saharan African nations invest locally in strong legal foundations for hydrogen energy storage.

Doedt et al. examined existing laws, regulations, and policies to delineate Japan's legal environment for agrivoltaics. Based on the findings, this study argues that an enabling legal environment represents an important pillar for scaling up agrivoltaics, signaling that regulatory clarity and support mechanisms have proven relevant impacts on technology uptake and sectoral development.

These analyses also reflect how energy and environmental legal regimes face tricky trade-offs among economic development pressures, environmental sustainability objectives, and technological development and deployment needs, requiring portfolio approaches that go beyond orthodox sectoral silos.

### 3.3 Human Rights and Criminal Justice

The evaluation of legal frameworks in the human rights and criminal justice domains shows ongoing difficulties in converting normative commitments into effective protection mechanisms. Amune (2025) examined Nigeria's legal framework for controlling and regulating human trafficking using the *Trafficking in Persons (Prohibition) Enforcement and Administration Act 2015*. While Nigeria has made tremendous strides in combating human trafficking, the study found critical gaps, such as penalties for offenders with laws that have been criticized as having limited scope and inadequate enforcement of parallel mechanisms.

It underlined the lack of comprehensive data to assess the scale and effectiveness of human trafficking responses, pointing out that these issues would continue without more coordinated efforts at the regional and global levels. This finding mirrors a broader pattern in human rights law, where formal legal protections are frequently insufficient without robust implementation mechanisms, adequate resources, and multi-stakeholder coordination.

### 3.4 Public Procurement and Administrative Law

Public procurement legal frameworks pose special challenges to evaluation, as they must reconcile the objectives of efficiency with the requirements for fairness, transparency, and accountability. Ghezzi et al. (2023) studied the in-house ICT procurement process in Finnish municipalities and found that the legal setting for (in-house) procurement remains complex and debated. The study revealed nuanced interactions between municipalities and related in-house political subdivisions, including tensions between the need for procurement flexibility and fair treatment of vendors.

This analysis shows how administrative law frameworks must maneuver through competing interests and stakeholder values, with legal uncertainty undercutting both efficiency and fairness goals. A new study in 2021 explored this problem more deeply and concluded that the effectiveness of the legal framework in the domain of administration may differ significantly due to factors such as the clarity of rules, understanding among stakeholders, and, most importantly, compliance between formal requirements and practice.

### 3.5 Emerging Technologies and AI Regulation

However, regulating artificial intelligence and new technologies is a frontier for developing and testing legal frameworks. Vujičić (2024) performed a comparative legal framework analysis of global AI regulation, focusing on the ways in which governments are trying to regulate the emergence of AI technologies that alter significant assets<sup>302</sup> and the operational frameworks for contemporary companies. Various approaches to regulation across jurisdictions have struck different balances between encouraging innovation and limiting risk.

Girija et al. (2025) work focused specifically on the use of computational models to assess legal frameworks governing health care access, developing a reinforcement learning-based model to quantify legal framework impacts on service delivery outcomes. This novel methodology may lead to data-driven analysis of legal frameworks, which would allow for a more systematic examination of the effectiveness of regulations and finding opportunities for optimization.

Such research underscores the difficulties in governing fast-moving technologies, where established legislative practices fail to keep up with the rate of technological change, and regulatory approaches must thread the needle, particularly between incentive-creating measures for innovation while maintaining rights: protecting rights, which include personal safety (as described above) as well as public interests.

## 4. Key Findings on Legal Framework Effectiveness

### 4.1 Structural and Enforcement Challenges

One finding that converges across domains is the gulf between formal legal guarantees and actual enforcement. Anwary's assessment of laws on Indonesian cybercrime suggested encouraging prevention, greater accountability, and bridging communication gaps between the authorities involved in the government and those focused on cybercrime—implicitly recognizing deficits in enforcement. Likewise, Amune (2025) highlights the lack of effective enforcement mechanisms as a significant challenge to the implementation of human trafficking legislation Pursuant to the legislative provisions in Nigeria despite good intentions.

They suggest that the effectiveness of legal frameworks depends not only on legislative design but also on institutional capacity, resource allocation, inter-agency coordination, and political commitment to implementation. The ongoing enforcement gap across indicators and jurisdictions captures a persistent challenge, suggesting that complementary attention to implementation architecture is as important as substantive legal provisions.

### 4.2 Regulatory Gaps and Limitations

Several studies have found major regulatory gaps that limit the effectiveness of the legal framework. Gaps in the penalties provided for THB offenders and the narrow scope of Nigerian legislation have been identified (Amune 2025). Ghezzi et al. (2023) found that in-house procurement is still governed by complex and contentious legal regimes, instilling ambiguity that hampers both efficiency and fairness.

For example, Rajeswari discussed how conventional laws failed to capture some characteristics of the digital environment when it came to cyberspace and protecting intellectual properties, resulting in regulatory gaps that made effective protection impossible. These conclusions suggest that regulatory vacuums arise particularly where traditional legal classifications find themselves at odds with new challenges, perhaps technological, social, or economic.

### 4.3 Coordination and Implementation Issues

Coordination challenges are crucial in constraining the effectiveness of legal frameworks across diverse spheres. Anwary stressed the importance of breaking down communication barriers between governments and cybercrime authorities; however, Amune (2025) stated that without effective

international cooperation, capability development, or community involvement, human trafficking would not be effectively monitored.

Abiri-Franklin et al. (2024) advocated for systems relationships and recognition of trade-offs among legal, economic, and environmental considerations while formulating energy policy—implicitly addressing the challenges of coordination across domains. These findings imply that modern legal challenges become progressively distinctively require matching response from agencies, jurisdictions, and sectors; this lack of cohesion in governance structures may preclude effective execution

## **5. Discussion**

### **5.1 Convergent Themes Across Domains**

Although the legal domains studied varied considerably, the literature across these domains revealed several converging themes. We identified three main challenges that make the simplicity of this statement misleading. (1) It is well recognized The effectiveness of a legal framework is a function of many considerations beyond formal legal content, including institutional capacity, resource availability, stakeholder alignment, and implementation settings. This conclusion casts serious suspicion on doctrinal approaches to evaluating laws or policies that preclude empirical studies of actual implementation and outcomes.

Second, regulatory gaps and enforceability deficits persist across domains and jurisdictions. Whether through their engagement with cybercrime, human trafficking, public procurement, or emerging technologies, legal frameworks perennially face the challenge of meaningfully transmuting normative commitments into protection or regulation. This pattern demonstrates rudimentary systemic design and implementation issues in legal systems that are not confined to any particular substantive area.

Third, modern legal problems contain an inherently multi-faceted, multi-stakeholder, policy dimension that must be tackled through legal frameworks built from integrated social, economic, and technology vectors. Coordination is frequently stymied by the siloed nature of traditional legal and administrative structures, leading to implementation challenges, even where legislative provisions are technically well-crafted.

### **5.2 Methodological Considerations**

The engagement is a sophisticated and pluralistic methodology over time in evaluating legal frameworks. Although doctrinal analysis remains the basis of much scholarship, comparative methods, empirical research, and approaches informed by different disciplines are becoming more widely used to review the effectiveness of legal frameworks. This methodological evolution also indicates an acknowledgement that, however doctrinally interesting and important, the relationship between law and effect is an empirical rather than simply doctrinal question.

However, there are methodological challenges. The absence of systematic evaluation metrics impedes the comparability of study findings across contexts and the assessment of the relative effectiveness of various regulatory approaches. The creation of standardized evaluation frameworks and metrics has emerged as an important priority for future research, facilitating more rigorous comparative analysis and evidence-based development of the policy environment

### **5.3 Implications for Legal Reform**

The findings from the reviewed literature have important implications for legal reform efforts. First, effective legal frameworks must focus not only on substantive provisions but also on implementation architecture (including institutional capacity, resource allocation, coordination mechanisms, and enforcement systems). Without implementation infrastructure, substantive provisions in legislative reform attempts are unlikely to meet their intended objectives.

Second, legal frameworks need to be built with enough flexibility to adapt as circumstances

change, especially in fields that are changing quickly, whether technologically or socially. However, rigid prescriptive regulatory approaches may become obsolete, whereas principles-based frameworks with adaptive implementation mechanisms could be more sustainable over the long term.

Third, effective legal frameworks increasingly require coordination across jurisdictions, sectors, and stakeholder groups. Because many contemporary issues transcend traditional institutional and jurisdictional boundaries, legal reform efforts should focus on mechanisms for coordination, information sharing, and collaborative problem solving.

## *6. Future Directions and Recommendations*

### *7. Conclusion*

This broad review of leading-edge thinking on legal framework assessment identifies a field of growing methodological rigor, substantial breadth, and, to some extent, sensitivity to implementation challenges. In a range of legal fields from cybercrime and IP through energy regulation to human rights protection, studies repeatedly find discrepancies between what the law says should be done and how it is operationalized, drawing attention to ongoing problems within enforcement, coordination, and responsiveness to changing conditions (Ramsden 2020).

While law review articles fall into a variety of genres, they represent a movement beyond conventional doctrinal evaluations of legal frameworks to embrace empirical evidence, comparative perspectives, and interdisciplinary insights. Current legal issues are multi-faceted, cutting across legal, economic, societal, and technological considerations, and thus increasingly require approaches that transcend institutional and jurisdictional frontiers.

Internal audit works to fine tune delivery effectiveness  
New Paradigm and Plan: Climate Strategies  
Publication  
Climate Law: Effects of national laws and regulations on overall GHG accounting  
Key messages  
Discoverability and implementation efficacy of legal frameworks depends upon not only legislative design but also institutional capacity and resources, coordination of relevant stakeholders, and context of implementation. Regulatory gaps and enforcement deficits are a longstanding yet surprising disease across countries and domains, indicating design and implementation problems in legal systems, which require the permanent attention of scholars and policymakers alike.

The future holds the need for standardized evaluation metrics, implementation studies observed over time, interdisciplinary collaboration, and clarity over international mechanisms through which cooperation might occur. With the increasingly complex challenges that societies face at the intersection of law, technology, economics, and social change, a careful assessment of legal frameworks is ever more needed to ensure that the calculus of norms, behavior, and rights is useful in achieving their base purposes.

All the methodologies employed for assessing the efficacy of legal frameworks, ranging from doctrinal analysis of laws to the use of empirical studies and theoretical computational approaches, point to the need for the field to adapt and create incentives to regularly call for evidence-based assessment of the framework that it studies. This bodes well for devising more relevant legal frameworks in the future that can rise to the complex, multi-faceted, and adaptive threats of contemporary society, especially when continued methodological innovation is paired with an ongoing focus on implementation aspects and coordination mechanisms.

## References

- Abiri-Franklin, O., Mensah, E., & Agyekum, E. B. (2024). Evaluating legal frameworks for hydrogen energy storage in Sub-Saharan Africa: Policy principles and economic perspectives. *2024 IEEE PES/IAS PowerAfrica Conference (PowerAfrica)*, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/seb4sdg60871.2024.10629878><https://doi.org/10.1109/seb4sdg60871.2024.10629878>.
- Amune, J. B. (2025). Control and regulation of human trafficking in Nigeria: A legal framework analysis. *East African Journal of Law and Ethics*, 8(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.37284/eajle.8.1.2609><https://doi.org/10.37284/eajle.8.1.2609>.
- Anwary, M. A. (n.d.). Evaluating legal frameworks for cybercrime in Indonesian public administration: An interdisciplinary approach. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4766601><https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4766601>.
- Ghezzi, A., Ruohonen, J., & Seppänen, V. (2023). Navigating in-house ICT procurement in Finland: Evaluating legal frameworks and practical challenges. *arXiv preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2310.15643><https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2310.15643>.
- Girija, S. S., Manoharan, H., Selvarajan, S., & Thanikanti, S. B. (2025). HealthAccessNet-RL: A reinforcement learning-based model for evaluating legal frameworks for neonatal and postnatal healthcare accessibility. *2025 International Conference on Intelligent Systems Science and Applications (ICISS)*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/iciss63372.2025.11076421><https://doi.org/10.1109/iciss63372.2025.11076421>.
- Vujičić, M. (2024). Global AI regulation and its impact on technology businesses: A comparative legal framework analysis. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 24(3), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.24.3.3911>